ORIGINAL PAPER



Sexual segregation in the foraging distribution, behaviour, and trophic niche of the endemic Boyd's shearwater (*Puffinus Iherminieri boydi*)

Ivo dos Santos¹ · Jaime A. Ramos¹ · Filipe R. Ceia¹ · Isabel Rodrigues^{1,2} · Nathalie Almeida^{1,2} · Stefan Antunes² · Ana R. Carreiro^{1,3} · Diana M. Matos¹ · Ricardo J. Lopes^{3,4,5} · Pedro Geraldes⁶ · Vítor H. Paiva¹

Received: 7 March 2022 / Accepted: 5 October 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract

Studies on sex-specific segregation in foraging behaviour and trophic niche have been focussed on large and dimorphic seabirds, with less information on small monomorphic species. Here, we used mini-GPS loggers, habitat suitability models, and stable isotopes to assess sex differences in the foraging movements, spatial distribution, and trophic ecology of Boyd's shearwaters *Puffinus Iherminieri boydi* in Raso Islet ($16^{\circ}36'$ N, $24^{\circ}35'$ W), Cabo Verde, during the chick-rearing periods of 2018–2019. The existence of sexual foraging segregation was tested in short (<1 day) and in long trips (≥ 1 day). Females engaged in slightly longer and more distant foraging trips, reaching northerly regions when compared to males, although sex differences were more pronounced during short trips. Spatial overlap within and between sexes was low, indicating a sexspecific pattern, albeit slight, in the foraging behaviour and spatial distribution of adult breeders. Habitat suitability models revealed a higher contribution of sea surface temperature and height for short and long trips, respectively, and regardless of sex. Stable isotope analysis revealed that both sexes occupied similar isotopic niches and the mixing model revealed no diet differences. In the absence of sexual size dimorphism, these findings may indicate that differential energetic demands may not manifest in strong differences in foraging behaviour or prey preferences, however, may be perceptible in differences in provisioning behaviour. Thus, it is possible that other factors involving distinct parental investment in chick-provisioning, such as the sensitivity to chick begging, could help explain the occurrence of sexual segregation in Boyd's shearwater.

Keywords Energetic constraints \cdot Little shearwater \cdot Monomorphic species \cdot Spatial segregation \cdot Species distribution modelling \cdot Stable isotope mixing model

Introduction

Seabirds, as marine apex predators, are sensitive to changes at lower trophic levels, e.g. oscillations in prey availability caused by shifts in oceanographic conditions (Becker et al.

Responsible Editor: T. Clay.

☑ Ivo dos Santos ivonobredossantos@gmail.com

- ¹ University of Coimbra, MARE, Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre/ARNET, Aquatic Research Network, Department of Life Sciences, Calçada Martim de Freitas, 3000-456 Coimbra, Portugal
- ² Biosfera Cabo Verde, Rua de Moçambique 28, Mindelo, caixa postal 233, São Vicente, Cabo Verde
- ³ CIBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, InBIO Laboratório Associado, Universidade do Porto, Campus de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal

2007; Chimienti et al. 2017), and many are seen as optimal sentinel organisms for monitoring environmental and trophic changes in marine ecosystems worldwide (Furness and Camphuysen 1997; Scopel et al. 2017). In their foraging choices, seabirds are driven by extrinsic factors (i.e. environmental conditions) and also by intrinsic traits, such as sex,

- ⁴ BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Campus de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal
- ⁵ MHNC-UP, Museu de História Natural e da Ciência da Universidade do Porto, Praça de Gomes Teixeira, 4099-002 Porto, Portugal
- ⁶ SPEA, Sociedade Portuguesa para o Estudo das Aves, Av. Columbano Bordalo Pinheiro, 87, 3º Andar, 1070-062 Lisbon, Portugal

breeding stage or age (Votier et al. 2017; Sztukowski et al. 2018; Cerveira et al. 2020). The combined effect of such factors may explain the inter- and intra-species partitioning of food resources (Schoener 1974; Paiva et al. 2017), which is particularly meaningful when resources are more unpredictable and patchily distributed, as happens in tropical regions (Weimerskirch 2007).

Sexual segregation is one of the most studied subjects in seabird ecology, especially during the breeding season, when adult breeders adopt a 'central-place' foraging strategy, becoming spatially constrained by their breeding duties (e.g. Weimerskirch et al. 1994; Magalhães et al. 2008; Wojczulanis-Jakubas et al. 2018), resulting in segregation of foraging patterns in some species. Sexual segregation can be explained by three main intrinsic drivers. First, divergent parental roles should shape nest attendance and/or provisioning rates. The sex contributing more to chick provisioning would be less engaged in brooding or nest-site defence, i.e. 'reproductive role specialization' hypothesis (Paredes et al. 2006; Thaxter et al. 2009; Burke et al. 2015). For instance, in common guillemots Uria aalge, females were reported to invest more on chick provisioning while males invested more on self-feeding in order to prepare for the male-only parental care period during the post-fledging, when males become single parents and females are independent (Thaxter et al. 2009). Second, sexual segregation may emerge as a consequence of sex-specific nutritional requirements due to the differential parental investment during previous breeding stages, i.e. 'energetic constraint' hypothesis (Elliott et al. 2010; Pinet et al. 2012), such as the costs incurred by egg production and laying (Monaghan et al. 1998), unequal contribution to incubation (Pinet et al. 2012) or a sex-biased provisioning of chicks (Gray and Hamer 2001; Welcker et al. 2009). Third, sexual segregation may arise due to competitive exclusion as a consequence of differential competitive ability, flight or foraging efficiency, often driven by anatomic differences between males and females, i.e. sexual size dimorphism (SSD) (González-Solís et al. 2000; Phillips et al. 2004; Weimerskirch et al. 2006; Paiva et al. 2018). Here, the smaller sex, or the less efficient forager could then be outcompeted by the larger sex, or the more efficient forager, and forced to forage in less profitable waters or in remoter areas, as a way to avoid competition within foraging grounds, i.e. 'inter-sexual competition' hypothesis (González-Solís et al. 2000; Paiva et al. 2018; Almeida et al. 2021). Yet, sizemediated competitive exclusion is not the only mechanism behind sexual segregation in SSD species. In albatrosses, sexual dimorphism found in wing loading and wing area corresponded to distinct habitat preferences of males and females regarding the wind strength, suggesting a functional role of flight performance that influences at-sea distribution and mediates niche divergence/specialisation (Shaffer et al. 2001; Phillips et al. 2004; Clay et al. 2020). In another study,

it was found a clear sexual segregation in foraging during years of 'poor' environmental conditions, likely years of lower food availability (Paiva et al. 2017). Female Cory's shearwaters Calonectris borealis undertook longer trips, enlarged their isotopic niche (i.e. an area (in δ -space) with isotopic values of different elements of a given organism's tissue (δ -values) as coordinates (Newsome et al. 2007)), fed on prey of lower trophic level, and presented lower body condition when compared to males (Paiva et al. 2017). This was in line with the hypothesis of competitive exclusion by the dominant sex (in this case males over females), which may be intensified when resources are scarce. Thus, when evaluating the existence of sexual segregation in foraging, the environmental context should always be considered, because sex differences may only be perceptible under specific conditions, such as food shortage (Gladbach et al. 2009; Paiva et al. 2017; Reyes-González et al. 2021).

Sex differences in foraging strategies (González-Solís et al. 2000; Pereira et al. 2018; Zango et al. 2020), at-sea spatial distribution (Phillips et al. 2011), parental roles (Austin et al. 2019), diet specialisation (Phillips et al. 2011), and niche partitioning (Paiva et al. 2017, 2018; Almeida et al. 2021; Reyes-González et al. 2021) have been frequently described in sexually dimorphic seabirds, such as albatrosses, boobies, and shearwaters. Yet, some studies have also reported the occurrence of sex differences in foraging patterns (Lewis et al. 2002; Welcker et al. 2009), parental role partitioning (Pinet et al. 2012), and isotopic niche in sexually monomorphic seabirds (Nisbet et al. 2002; Quillfeldt et al. 2008; Clark et al. 2021), indicating that body size would not be the explanation for sexual segregation in these species. In Barau's petrel Pterodroma baraui, during the prelaying exodus, males foraged in more chlorophyll-enriched waters farther from the colony, and systematically took the first incubation shift, which allowed females to recover their energy budgets depleted by oviposition (Pinet et al. 2012). In two sexually monomorphic gannet species, the northern gannet Morus bassanus and the Australasian gannet *M. serrator*, females occupied different trophic niches than males during the breeding stage (Stauss et al. 2012; Cleasby et al. 2015; Ismar et al. 2017). A more recent study showed that sex differences in foraging of northern gannets could arise from differential energetic demands that influenced resource partitioning (Bennison et al. 2022). Specifically, females experienced higher energetic demands, primarily due to the higher investment in chick provisioning, but also fed on different prey, possibly to avoid competitive exclusion by males (Bennison et al. 2022). Therefore, it seems that in some species, sex-specific foraging and resource partitioning may emerge from a combination of differential energetic requirements and competitive exclusion, underlining the fact that these drivers are not mutually exclusive, and not necessarily linked to size dimorphism (Clark et al. 2021; Bennison et al. 2022).

The ongoing miniaturisation of global positioning system (GPS) tags in the last few years, permitted the tracking of small-sized seabirds (Soanes et al. 2015; Surman et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2019; Bolton 2021; Rotger et al. 2021), improving our knowledge on the detailed foraging movements, behaviour, and fine-scale spatial segregation, especially in tropical regions (e.g. Soanes et al. 2015; Surman et al. 2017). Boyd's shearwater Puffinus lhermin*ieri boydi* is a small-sized monomorphic procellariiform (Flood and van der Vliet 2019), with a current lack of information about its foraging behaviour, spatial distribution, and trophic ecology during the breeding season. To the best of our knowledge, only two studies tracked the movements of Boyd's shearwater using light-sensing geolocators (Zajková et al. 2017; Ramos et al. 2020). In addition, the trophic ecology of Boyd's shearwater during the breeding season is less known when compared to its closest-related counterpart, the Macaronesian shearwater P. lherminieri baroli (Neves et al. 2012; Ramos et al. 2015), and other larger breeding seabirds of Cabo Verde (Cerveira et al. 2020; Almeida et al. 2021). Here, we tracked the foraging movements of Boyd's shearwater using high-precision mini-GPS loggers and studied its trophic ecology during the chick-rearing period at Raso islet, Cabo Verde. We aimed to assess whether sexes differed in at-sea foraging behaviour, spatial segregation, and foraging habitat choices during short and long trips. During chick-rearing, pelagic seabirds usually adopt a dual-foraging strategy, involving repeated alternation of several short trips used mainly to find food for the offspring, with long trips used for adult self-provisioning to replenish the nutritional reserves depleted during successive chick-provisioning trips, e.g. Cory's shearwater, Cape Verde shearwater C. edwardsii (Magalhães et al. 2008; Cerveira et al. 2020). For species breeding at low profitable areas, long foraging trips often extend to areas of enhanced productivity, associated with shelf slopes, continental shelves, or frontal zones (Magalhães et al. 2008; Pereira et al. 2022). Given the lack of SSD, and an apparent equal investment of both sexes in breeding duties, we do not expect a strong sex-specific segregation in the foraging behaviour or spatial distribution within short and long trips. Simultaneously, we also aimed at assessing the isotopic niche occupied by each sex through stable isotope analysis (SIA) of carbon and nitrogen ratios $(\delta^{13}C \text{ and } \delta^{15}N, \text{ respectively}), \text{ and the diet composition}$ through isotopic mixing models. We do not expect sexspecific segregation in isotopic niche nor in diet composition, as it was already reported for its close-related counterpart the Macaronesian shearwater (Neves et al. 2012; Ramos et al. 2015).

Materials and methods

Study area and study species

Our study was carried out at Raso Islet (16°36' N, 24°35' W), Cabo Verde, an uninhabited islet integrated in the Integral Natural Reserve of Santa Luzia (Vasconcelos et al. 2015). Boyd's shearwater is a subspecies of little shearwater (c. 5,000 pairs), belonging to the *lhermin*ieri complex, breeding in the archipelago of Cabo Verde (BirdLife International 2020; Semedo et al. 2021). It is currently classified as "Least Concern" in the IUCN Red List; however, there are some signs of decline owing to the impacts caused by invasive species such as the black rat, Rattus rattus (BirdLife International 2020). This smallsized pelagic seabird (~160 g) is an endemic subspecies of Cabo Verde, and it is the nearest counterpart of the Macaronesian shearwater, which breeds in Azores, Madeira, Selvagens and Canary Islands (BirdLife International 2020). It is a winter breeder, and like other Procellariiformes, lays a single egg each breeding season. Briefly, adults arrive at the colony in August-September to prospect and defend their breeding burrow, females lay the egg in January-February, which hatches about 50 days later (mid-March) and the chick is fed approximately for 60 days, leaving the nest between the last half of May and the first half of June (Zajková et al. 2017).

GPS deployment and sample collection

From March to April 2018 and 2019, mini-GPS loggers (nanoFixTMGeo & Geo+, PathTrack Ltd., UK) were attached to the four central tail feathers of breeding adults, using TESA© tape (Wilson et al. 1997). Each logger together with the tape did not exceed 4 g weight, representing ~ 2.5% (2.0-2.9%) of adults' body mass $(162.3 \pm 18.3 \text{ g}; \text{ range: } 136-198 \text{ g})$. Although we acknowledge the potential deleterious effects of biologging on birds (Barron et al. 2010; Bodey et al. 2018; Gillies et al. 2020; Sun et al. 2020), the body mass of birds returning from a long trip, i.e. self-provisioning trip (Weimerskirch et al. 1994; Congdon et al. 2005), increased significantly from logger deployment $(169.1 \pm 26.0 \text{ g})$ to logger retrieval sessions (183.1 \pm 29.4 g; paired t-test, $t_7 = 3.76$, P = 0.007). GPS deployment did not last more than 5 min, and adults were returned to the respective nests. Each logger was programmed to record each geographical position every 10 min (~140 locations per day). During deployment sessions, some breast feathers were collected for molecular sexing (see Table S1 for more details), while during logger retrieval, a blood sample (~0.8 ml) was collected from

the brachial vein, centrifuged to separate plasma from red blood cells (RBC), and both blood partitions were kept in ethanol (70%) until preparation for SIA. Four tags were successfully retrieved in 2018 (3 males and 1 female) and 24 devices (12 males and 12 females) in 2019. Concurrently, putative prey samples were collected at local fish markets or occasionally captured during boat travelling to the islet, within the Natural Reserve of Santa Luzia, for subsequent SIA and diet modelling.

Sample preparation and stable isotope analysis

Plasma was selected for carbon and nitrogen isotopic analysis (n = 16), because its turnover rate corresponds approximately to the tracking period duration, i.e. around 5-7 days (Inger and Bearhop 2008), while RBC would reflect a larger timeframe of about 3-4 weeks (Bearhop et al. 2002; Cherel et al. 2005a). Nitrogen (δ^{15} N; 15 N/ 14 N) isotopic values are commonly used as a proxy of predator's trophic level, increasing about 2-5 % at each trophic level (Minagawa and Wada 1986), while carbon (δ^{13} C; 13 C/ 12 C) values are used as a habitat indicator, because it only suffers a slight increase (ca. 0-1 %) at each trophic level (Kelly 2000). Seabird plasma and prey muscle were dried during 24 and 48 h, respectively, at 60 °C. Next, the samples were rinsed with a 2:1 chloroform: methanol solution to remove the overload of lipids that can deplete ¹³C values (Cherel et al. 2005b; Post et al. 2007). All samples were ground to a powder, weighed $(\sim 0.35 \text{ mg})$ in tin capsules, and analysed through an elemental analyser/isotope ratio mass spectrometry (EA/IRMS) at MAREFOZ laboratory, in Figueira da Foz, Portugal. The results were expressed using the standard δ notation, following the equation: $\delta X = \left[\left(R_{\text{sample}} / R_{\text{standard}} \right) - 1 \right] \times 1000,$ where X is ¹³C or ¹⁵N, and R is the ratio ¹³C:¹²C or ¹⁵N:¹⁴N, respectively. R_{standard} values correspond to the Vienna Pee-Dee Belemnite (V-PDB) and atmospheric N_2 , for ¹³C and ¹⁵N, respectively (Bond and Jones 2009). Replicate measurements of internal laboratory standards (acetanilide) indicate a precision of ± 0.2 % for both carbon and nitrogen isotopic ratios. The C/N ratio was examined to verify if lipid removal was effective in all plasma and muscle samples.

Prey assemblage

Prey assemblage was established according to prey taxa (squid or fish), life-stage (larval or adult), and distribution in the water column (epipelagic or mesopelagic). In this sense, four main prey groups were initially set: epipelagic fish, mesopelagic fish, squid, and fish larvae. Epipelagic fish was comprised of three fish species which inhabit the epipelagic layer of the ocean (i.e. the upper 200 m of the water column): *Tylosurus acus, Sardinella maderensis, Selar crumenophthalmus*; mesopelagic fish was comprised

of Myctophum affine and Hygophum sp.; squid included individuals of two different species: Hyaloteuthis pelagica and Callimachus rancureli; finally, fish larvae included fingerlings captured near surface during pelagic tours, identified as Ophioblennius sp. and Synodus saurus. All potential prey were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level, weighed, and measured the body-length (for fish) or mantlelength (for squid). Preys were initially identified using local guides or catalogues and, specifically, squid were identified using their beaks (Xavier and Cherel 2009). In addition, a small piece of muscle tissue of each species was collected to create a DNA reference collection (see Table S1), either to confirm the previous identification or to achieve a lower taxonomic level. These species are among the most abundant of each group within Cabo Verde archipelago and are generally ingested by local breeding seabirds (Carreiro, personal observation).

GPS data analysis: behavioural classification and kernel estimation

To estimate missing locations and standardise sampling effort, GPS tracks were resampled by linear interpolation to exactly 10 min interval. Individual foraging trips were divided in short (< 1 day) and long (> 1 day), after inspecting trip duration frequency using a histogram (Fig. S1). To avoid potential disturbance caused by social interaction and flying movements while landing at the colony, a distance to colony filter of 1 km radii was applied (Pereira et al. 2021), to discard those locations. Maximum distance to colony, total distance travelled, latitude and longitude at the distal point of each foraging trip were computed using several functions within trip R package (Sumner et al. 2020). Behavioural classification was computed through the expectation-maximisation binary clustering (EMbC R package) algorithm coupled to a post-processing smoothing to account for a possible incorrect labelling of positions (Garriga et al. 2016; Cecere et al. 2020). This algorithm uses flight speed and turning angle values between consecutive locations to attribute a behavioural state: (1) low speed/low turning angle (LL; resting behaviour), (2) low speed/high turning angle (LH; intensive search or foraging behaviour), (3) high speed/high turning angle (HH; extensive search or relocating behaviour), or (4) high speed/low turning angle (HL; travelling behaviour).

Extensive (HH) and intensive search (LH) states were used together to represent the positions where adults were foraging. Extensive search characterises high speed movements when individuals are foraging in a large area in order to locate prey patches, while intensive search characterises the low speed and high turning movements when individuals adopt an area restricted search (ARS) behaviour after prey location (Weimerskirch 2007; Louzao et al. 2014; Ravache et al. 2020). Following the methods and R scripts described by (Lascelles et al. 2016), we calculated mean ARS zones radii for short and long trips, and used those values as smoothing parameters (h) in the computation of Kernel Utilization Distribution (Kernel UD). A smoothing parameter of 4 km was used for short trips, and a smoothing parameter of 8 km for long trips. Kernel UD contours (95% and 50%), and its areas, were calculated using the 'kernelUD' and 'kernel.area' functions, respectively, within the adehabitatHR R package (Calenge 2006). The 50% and the 95% UD contours were computed to represent adults' foraging areas (FA) and home range areas (HR), respectively. The overlap of UD contours, with land omitted, was calculated between sexes within short and long trips using the 'kerneloverlap' function, using the Bhattacharyya's affinity (BA), under the adehabitatHR R package (Calenge 2006).

Environmental predictors and habitat suitability models

Monthly values of ocean (1) bathymetry (BAT, blended ETOPO1 product, 0.01° spatial resolution, m), (2) chlorophyll *a* concentration (CHL, 0.04° spatial resolution, mg m⁻³), (3) ocean mixed layer thickness (OMLT, 0.08° spatial resolution, m), (4) sea surface height above geoid (SSH, 0.08° spatial resolution, cm), and (5) sea surface temperature (SST, 0.08° spatial resolution, °C) were extracted within the foraging range of Boyd's shearwater for March 2018-2019 and April 2019, and the mean raster was calculated for each environmental predictor. Variable 1 was downloaded from https://www.ngdc.noaa while variables 2-5 were downloaded from http://marine.copernicus.eu. Spatial gradients were calculated by estimating rates of change by moving a window function $(3 \times 3 \text{ grid cells}; \text{ function} = [(\text{max}.$ value – min. value) $\times 100$ /(max. value)) (Louzao et al. 2009). Bathymetry gradient (BATG) identifies the presence of oceanic topographic features, such as seamounts or shelfbreaks (i.e. slope areas); the gradient of CHL (CHLG) and SST (SSTG) can be used as a proxy of oceanic fronts, while OMLT gradient (OMLTG) indicate the change level on the mixed layer thickness, and consequently, the depth of the thermocline which drives the abundance and distribution of marine prey; the gradient of SSH (SSHG) could help identify the occurrence of mesoscale eddies. All environmental predictors were rescaled to the coarsest spatial resolution (i.e. 0.08°) and extracted for each GPS location, before running habitat suitability models. All computations were conducted under several functions within raster R package (Hijmans et al. 2020).

Habitat suitability models were computed separately for males and females for long and short trips, i.e. four modelling exercises. Tracking data from 2018 and 2019 was jointly analysed given the low sample size of tags retrieved in 2018 and similar foraging range and distribution between years (Fig. S2). Prior to habitat modelling, all environmental predictors (BAT, CHL, OMLT, SSH, SST), and respective gradients (BATG, CHLG, OMLTG, SSHG, SSTG), were inspected for multicollinearity issues, using the variation inflation factor (VIF>2.5), while correlation among environmental predictors was examined using Pearson correlation coefficients (r > 0.6) (Table S2), under the *usdm* R package (Naimi 2017). Testing for collinearity issues enables to account only with non-redundant variables, avoiding model overfitting and inflated errors (Zurell et al. 2020). Ensemble Species Distribution Models (ESDM; Marmion et al. 2009) were computed using the 'ensemble_modelling' function within the SSDM R package (Schmitt et al. 2017) using all GPS locations (presence data; Fig. S3), and with modelling, 10,000 pseudo-absences were randomly generated (Barbet-Massin et al. 2012) within the foraging range of adult Boyd's shearwaters as previously computed for little shearwaters (Ramos et al. 2020) and Cape Verde shearwater (Cerveira et al. 2020). For modelling exercises, we tested 7 algorithms that are commonly used in ensemble model exercises: Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Classification Tree Analysis (CTA), Generalised Additive Models (GAM), Generalised Linear Models (GLM), Multiple Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS), Random Forest (RF), and Support Vector Machine (SVM). Each model algorithm was computed ten times using a tenfold cross-validation procedure, using 70% of all data set for model calibration and the remaining 30% grid squares as random test for model validation (Araujo et al. 2005; Marmion et al. 2009; Zurell et al. 2020). This conservative approach avoids model overfitting and minimises effects of spatial autocorrelation in both seabird presence and environmental predictors. Model goodness of fit was examined using the area under the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC). Models were classified excellent when AUC > 0.90, good when 0.80 < AUC < 0.90, reasonable when 0.70 > AUC < 0.80, and not acceptable when AUC < 0.70 (Araujo et al. 2005). The relative contribution of environmental predictors to the probability of occurrence of each sex within short or long trips was given by the average contribution calculated from all models.

Isotopic niche and mixing models

Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R, i.e. *SIBER* (Jackson et al. 2011), were used to calculate the isotopic niches of females and males. Bayesian estimation of standard ellipse area (SEA_B), encompassing 40% of all observations within each group, was calculated using Markov-chain Monte Carlo runs with $2 \times 10,000$ iterations; the first 1×1000 runs were discarded, thinned by ten and over two chains (Jackson et al. 2011). We extracted 95% credible intervals (CI) of SEA_B, and based on this Bayesian approach we calculated

the probability of group 1 (e.g. females) SEA being smaller than that of group 2 (e.g. males), using the *rjags* R package (Plummer et al. 2019). Standard ellipse areas corrected for small sample size (SEA_C) were computed for visualisation purposes. Bayesian estimates of overlap between males' and females' standard ellipse areas was scaled to include 95% of data distribution, and calculated for each posterior draw (and averaged over the 1000 draws) using the 'bayesianOverlap' function within *SIBER* R package (Jackson et al. 2011). We extracted 95% CI and calculated the proportion of overlap between the two ellipses, drawn as the proportion of the non-overlapping areas (i.e. prop_{overlap} = area_{overlap}/(area ellipse₂ + area ellipse₁ – area_{overlap})).

The contribution of each prey group for Boyd's shearwater diet was estimated using Bayesian mixing models within the simmr package (Parnell and Inger 2016). Prior to running the mixing model, prey isotopic signatures $(\delta^{13}C - \delta^{15}N)$ were visually inspected to warrant prey groups occupied different isotopic niches (Fig. S4). Thus, C. rancureli, Hygophum sp., and one specimen of H. pelagica were excluded from the mixing model exercise: epipelagic fish $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SD}: \delta^{13}\text{C} = -16.95 \pm 0.48 \%, \delta^{15}\text{N} = 9.72 \pm 0.70 \%,$ n = 16; C/N = 3.59 \pm 0.06), mesopelagic fish (mean \pm SD: δ^{13} C = -18.78 ± 0.40 %, δ^{15} N = 10.26 ± 0.45 %, n = 8; C/N = 3.20 ± 0.05), squid (mean ± SD: δ^{13} C = -16.17 ± 0.51 %, $\delta^{15}N = 12.98 \pm 0.65$ %, n = 4; C/N = 2.89 ± 0.11), and fish larvae (mean \pm SD: $\delta^{13}C = -18.68 \pm 0.24 \%$, δ^{15} N = 8.38 ± 0.43 %, n = 10; C/N = 3.04 ± 0.04). Trophic discrimination factors (TDFs) are needed to accurately run the isotopic mixing model and these are often tissue-specific, species-specific and diet-specific, meaning that they may vary according to consumer's species and its diet, and the tissue analysed (Phillips et al. 2014). To our best knowledge, there are no TDF available for Boyd's shearwaters; hence, TDF values were obtained using the SIDER R package (Healy et al. 2018), a package that uses a phylogenetic regression model using Bayesian inference based on a compiled dataset to estimate the most accurate discrimination factors. This recent approach was already successively applied to study wild animal diets, including birds (e.g. Johnson et al. 2020; Swan et al. 2020; Morgenthaler et al. 2021; Navarro et al. 2021). We performed a prior selection of the discrimination factors provided by SIDER dataset, based on the type of tissue (blood), habitat (marine), and diet type (carnivore). Therefore, we used a TDF of 0.39 ± 1.11 % (-1.80-2.61, 95% CI) and +2.62 ± 1.21 % (0.26-4.99, 95% CI) for carbon and nitrogen, respectively. Before running the model, a simulation method proposed by Smith et al. (2013) was used to inspect the feasibility of the isotopic mixing polygons. The sensitivity analysis (using 1500 iterations) applied to mixing polygons indicated that none of adult isotopic signatures felt outside the 95% source mixing regions (probability ranges: 0.07 to 0.37 for males, 0.13 to 0.49 for females), validating our model (Fig. S5). A final mixing model with sex as covariate was computed using the function 'simmr_mcmc' from the *simmr* R package (Parnell and Inger 2016). Comparisons of dietary proportions between males and females were calculated for each prey group (i.e. source), as the probability of the proportion of a given source (e.g. squid) in group 1 (e.g. females) being higher than in group 2 (e.g. males), using the function 'compare_groups' within the *simmr* R package (Parnell and Inger 2016).

Statistical analysis

Generalised linear mixed models (GLMMs) were used to test the effect of sex on adult trip parameters, at-sea foraging behaviour, and at-sea spatial use, separately for short and long trips: (1) trip duration, (2) maximum distance to colony, (3) total distance travelled, (4) percentage of time spent foraging, (5) latitude and (6) longitude coordinates at the maximum distance to colony, (7) kernel area of foraging areas (FA; 50% UD) and (8) kernel area of home range areas (HR; 95% UD). All models were run using sex as a fixed factor, while the bird identity (i.e. individual) was included as a random factor to avoid pseudo-replication. Years were pooled together due to the lower sample size recorded in 2018 (3 males and 1 female). Spearman correlation coefficients revealed a positive and strong correlation $(r_s > 0.7)$ among trip duration, maximum distance to colony, and total distance travelled, thus the mixed model was only run for trip duration. Mixed models were conducted with the *lme4* R package (Bates et al. 2015). All response variables were tested for normality, transformed to follow a Gaussian distribution if necessary or by specifying the family (and respective link function) within the model. Specifically, kernel areas of FA and HR were log transformed, while for trip duration a GLMM with a Gamma distribution (inverse link function) was used. The values are expressed as mean \pm SD throughout the results. All analyses and modelling computations were performed using the R software ver. 4.0.2 (R Core Team 2020) and the significance level was set at $P \le 0.05$.

Results

Foraging behaviour and foraging areas during short and long trips

Over the two chick-rearing periods, each logger recorded an average of 5.1 ± 1.7 days in a total of 98 trips made by 28 adult Boyd's shearwaters (M: n = 15; F: n = 13). Of these, 59 trips were classified as short trips (M: n = 34; F: n = 25), while 39 trips were classified as long trips (M: n = 20; F: n = 19). Overall, both sexes foraged in waters



Fig. 1 Main core foraging areas (FA; 50% UD, filled polygons) and home range areas (HR; 95% Kernel UD, solid lines) used by male (blue) and female (orange) Boyd's shearwaters during short (<1 day

trips; left panel) and long (≥ 1 day trips; right panel) foraging trips during the chick-rearing periods of 2018–2019. Bathymetric relief in the background

Table 1Trip characteristics, foraging behaviour, and spatial ecologyparameters of male and female Boyd's shearwaters during the chick-rearing periods of 2018–2019 at Raso islet (joint data for both years),Cabo Verde. Individual trips were separated by its duration as short

(< 1 day) or long $(\geq 1 \text{ day})$ foraging trips. The overlap of foraging areas (FA) was measured within each sex and trip type and between sexes (within trip type) using the Bhattacharyya's affinity index (BA). Values are mean \pm SD

	Short trips		Long trips		
	Males	Females	Males	Females	
Trip parameters					
Number of trips [n birds]	34 [13]	25 [12]	20 [13]	19 [11]	
Trip duration (days)	0.60 ± 0.05	0.63 ± 0.06	1.65 ± 0.80	2.59 ± 1.57	
Maximum distance to colony (km)	52.17 ± 28.82	75.65 ± 20.68	107.93 ± 50.47	150.46 ± 57.34	
Total distance travelled (km)	117.98 ± 51.87	143.11 ± 54.10	221.37 ± 97.49	309.51 ± 114.83	
Latitude coordinates (at maximum distance, °N)	16.77 ± 0.22	17.06 ± 0.27	17.01 ± 0.64	17.56 ± 0.56	
Longitude coordinates (at maximum distance, °W)	-24.29 ± 0.38	-24.18 ± 0.27	-23.92 ± 0.44	-23.69 ± 0.38	
Time spent foraging (%)	28.19 ± 11.46	19.96 ± 10.54	22.62 ± 9.48	16.46 ± 4.86	
Time spent relocating (%)	12.17 ± 6.11	9.02 ± 4.61	6.61±4.19	2.00 ± 0.82	
Time spent resting (%)	12.42 ± 9.71	13.65 ± 11.48	36.39±13.11	36.24 ± 2.00	
Time spent travelling (%)	47.06 ± 13.92	57.09 ± 11.59	34.39 ± 10.20	45.10 ± 5.07	
Spatial ecology parameters					
Foraging areas (FA): 50% UD area (km ²)	143.87 ± 46.10	150.76 ± 56.30	652.38 ± 322.12	755.56 ± 502.10	
Home range areas (HR): 95% UD area (km ²)	626.45 ± 192.93	679.45 ± 251.08	3500.05 ± 2519.25	3647.71 ± 2395.50	
FA overlap within sex and trip type (BA index)	0.02 ± 0.02	0.01 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.04	0.01 ± 0.01	
FA overlap among sex, within trip type (BA index)	0.01 ± 0.01		0.01 ± 0.01		

close to the colony, travelling for short distances and for short periods of time (Fig. 1, Table 1). Adults were more likely to be foraging at sea during the day but also exhibited two peaks of foraging activity during crepuscular hours (Fig. S6). During short trips, males carried out slightly shorter trips (in duration), kept closer to the colony, over waters further south, and spent more time foraging than females. Size of foraging (FA, 50% UD) and home range areas (HR, 95% UD), calculated for each foraging trip, were consistently larger for females than for males (Table 2). During long trips, males carried out slightly shorter trips (both in duration and distance), kept slightly closer to the colony over waters further south, and showed slightly smaller HR than females (Table 2). The overlap within and between sexes and trip type was low, evidencing spatial segregation at the foraging trip and sex levels (Fig. 1, Table 1).

 Table 2
 Summary of (generalised) linear mixed models used to test

 the effect of sex on trip metrics, at-sea foraging behaviour, and at-sea
 spatial use parameters of adult Boyd's shearwaters during short and

 long foraging trips. All models included bird identity (i.e. individual)
 individual)

as a random factor to avoid pseudo-replication issues. Parametric coefficients ($\beta \pm SE$), *t*, and *P* values are also shown. Significant values ($P \le 0.05$) are shown in bold

Models	Short trips			Long trips				
	$\beta \pm SE$	t value	P value	Effect	$\beta \pm SE$	t value	P value	Effect
Trip duration	-0.04 ± 0.02	-2.09	0.05	M <f< td=""><td>-0.45 ± 0.22</td><td>-2.09</td><td>0.04</td><td>M<f< td=""></f<></td></f<>	-0.45 ± 0.22	-2.09	0.04	M <f< td=""></f<>
Latitude at maximum distance	-0.33 ± 0.08	-4.16	< 0.001	M < F	-0.54 ± 0.23	-2.35	0.03	M < F
Longitude at maximum distance	-0.12 ± 0.11	-1.12	0.27		-0.23 ± 0.13	-1.71	0.11	
Time spent foraging	8.05 ± 3.49	2.31	0.03	M > F	0.17 ± 0.09	1.84	0.07	
50% Kernel UD (FA)	-0.28 ± 0.07	-3.74	< 0.01	M < F	-0.28 ± 0.15	-1.93	0.07	
95% Kernel UD (HR)	-10.20 ± 2.67	-3.82	< 0.001	M < F	-16.75 ± 7.35	-2.28	0.03	M < F

Habitat modelling

Multicollinearity examinations detected that only two of the ten tested environmental variables showed collinearity issues, i.e. BAT and CHLG, and consequently were not used for habitat modelling exercises. The ESDMs computed separately for short and long trips for male and female adults (four ensemble models in total), exhibited good to excellent predictive performance (0.88 < AUC < 0.94, Table S3), which indicates that models were quite efficient in separating the suitable from the unsuitable marine habitats for adult Boyd's shearwaters. Variable relative contribution was quite similar between sexes in explaining adults' distribution during both short and long trips (Fig. 2, Table S3); SST was the variable that best explained the distribution of Boyd's shearwaters during short trips, while SSH was the variable that best explained their distribution during long trips. Despite its reduced relative contribution, CHL was the variable that differed the most between sexes during long trips (Fig. 2, Table S3). Interestingly, females preferred regions with



Fig. 2 Percentage contribution of gradient of bathymetry (BATG), chlorophyll a concentration (CHL), sea surface height (SSH), and sea surface temperature (SST) in explaining the at-sea distribution of

adult male and female Boyd's shearwater during short and long foraging trips, recorded during the chick-rearing periods of 2018–2019 (more details in Table S3)

Table 3 Males and females isotopic niche measurements of Boyd's shearwaters, using plasma signatures collected chick-rearing period of 2019. Carbon and nitrogen isotopic values (mean ± SD) are expressed in %0. SEA_C represents the area of the standard ellipse (explaining 40% of the total data) corrected for small samples sizes;

SEA_B (CI) represents the Bayesian estimation of standard ellipses and the respective 95% credible intervals; SEA_B (P=) assesses niche size probability differences between sexes; C/N represents the average ratio between carbon and nitrogen percentage values

Sex	δ^{13} C	$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N}$	SEA _C	SEA _B (CI)	$SEA_{B}(P=)$	C/N
Males $(n=8)$	-18.47 ± 0.55	11.11 ± 0.57	0.92	0.96 (0.36-1.75)	0.19	3.78
Females $(n=8)$	-18.31 ± 0.40	11.36 ± 0.44	0.63	0.61 (0.22-1.09)		3.79

Source

Source

Mesopelagic fish

0.00



Fig. 3 Isotopic niches of male (blue) and female (orange) Boyd's shearwaters plasma at Raso islet, Cabo Verde. The standard ellipse area corrected for small sample sizes (SEA_C) is presented in solid bold lines

slightly higher SSH during short and long trips, while during long trips females distributed along regions with slightly lower CHL than males (Fig. S7).

Isotopic niche and diet composition

Overall, there was no evidence that male and female occupy different isotopic niches according to plasma isotopic signatures (MANOVA, Wilks's λ , $F_{1.14} = 0.95$, P = 0.41, n = 16; Table 3, Fig. 3). A separate analysis for each stable isotope revealed that neither δ^{13} C ($F_{1.14} = 0.46$, P = 0.51) and δ^{15} N ($F_{1.14} = 0.93$, P = 0.35) values showed evidence of sex differences. The Bayesian estimation of standard ellipse area (SEA_B) revealed no evidence of sex differences on the isotopic niche (SEA_{B (MALES)} = 0.96(0.36–1.75, 95% CI), SEA_{B (FEMALES)}=0.61 (0.23–1.09, 95% CI), Table 3), nor on isotopic niche size (probability that $SEA_{B (FEMALES)} > SEA_{B (MALES)} = 0.19$, Table 3). The overlap of isotopic niches, here represented by the overlap of Bayesian estimates of standard ellipse area indicated that approximately 34% (10-59%, 95% CI) of males' isotopic niche overlapped with that of females (Fig. 3). The isotopic mixing model showed no apparent diet differences between sexes, indicating a major reliance on fish larvae (M: $69.1 \pm 12.3\%$; F: $62.2 \pm 11.8\%$), followed by mesopelagic fish (M: $16.1 \pm 11.7\%$; F: $19.8 \pm 11.8\%$), while epipelagic fish (M: $9.5 \pm 6.6\%$; F: $12.1 \pm 7.6\%$) and squid had a

Fig. 4 Stable isotope Bayesian mixing model graphical outputs exhibiting the prey contributions in the diet of Boyd's shearwaters. Boxplots display the range between 25 and 75% quantiles, error bars extend to a maximum (97.5%) and minimal values (2.5%), and the mean is represented by the solid black line. The top panel corresponds to diet outputs obtained for males, and the bottom panel for females. For clarification of prey species belonging to each group, please consult "Prey assemblage" and "Isotopic niche and mixing models" in Methods

0.50 Proportion

0.75

1.00

0.25

minor importance in the diet of Boyd's shearwaters (M: $5.3 \pm 3.5\%$; F: 6.0 $\pm 3.6\%$) (Fig. 4).

Discussion

Our study documented a partial sex-specific segregation in foraging by adult Boyd's shearwaters during the chick-rearing period. We found a sex-specific pattern on adult foraging distribution, with females consistently reaching further and

northerly areas and exhibiting enlarged foraging and home range areas, although the evidence of sex differences were stronger in short than in long trips. The foraging distribution was largely explained by sea surface temperature (SST) and sea surface height (SSH) during short and long trips, respectively, and regardless of sex. There was no strong evidence of sex differences in the isotopic niche, although the overlap was not complete, and the mixing model revealed similar diets between sexes, mostly comprised of fish larvae.

Overall, Boyd's shearwaters foraged mostly near the colony (up to 300 km), in the pelagic waters located northwards of the archipelago of Cabo Verde. This is in line with the prevalence of an oceanic foraging distribution in the colony surroundings, reported in previous studies using light-sensing geolocators (Zajková et al. 2017; Ramos et al. 2020), and in other close-related *Puffinus* spp. during the breeding season (Neves et al. 2012; Ramos et al. 2015). Alternation between short and long trips is a common strategy adopted by Procellariiformes during the chick-rearing period, where adults use several short trips to provision food to their offspring, to cope with the nutritional needs of their growing chick and ensure breeding success, with one or two long trips for self-provisioning to replenish body reserves depleted during short trips (Weimerskirch et al. 1994; Congdon et al. 2005). We must underline, however, that our low sample size and the short period of tracking might have limited the power to detect a more pronounced dual-foraging in Boyd's shearwaters, thus, we opted to discuss the results with caution and aside from the dual-foraging.

In monomorphic biparental care species, sex differences in nest attendance, chick provisioning, and overall foraging strategy were traditionally pointed out as a consequence of distinct parental roles, competitive exclusion or differential energetic constraints (Peck and Congdon 2006; Thaxter et al. 2009; Welcker et al. 2009). In thick-billed murres U. lomvia and razorbills Alca torda, females were found to provide more food to their offspring, while males spent more time brooding the nestlings. Parental role differences were related to the male-biased capability of defending the nest, and not necessarily to the female-biased provisioning effort (Paredes et al. 2006). However, our results do not support this hypothesis because males and females presented only slight differences in foraging trip duration, and we have no concrete evidence that can attest the occurrence of parental role specialisation in Boyd's shearwater.

Sex-specific foraging behaviour could, on the other hand, evolve from competitive exclusion at the foraging grounds, often attributed to size-related differences in SSD species that may be indicative of differential foraging and flight performance (González-Solís et al. 2000; Phillips et al. 2004; Weimerskirch et al. 2006; Almeida et al. 2021). The incidence of competitive exclusion was already suggested for sexually monomorphic species, although the proximate mechanism by which this could have emerged may not be so obvious as for sexually dimorphic species (Peck and Congdon 2006). Resource partitioning is expected to be greater when resources are scarcer or when larger individuals outcompete the smaller co-specifics from using the same resources (Young et al. 2010; Phillips et al. 2011; Almeida et al. 2021). In fact, tropical marine regions are often described as oligotrophic environments where food resources are more limited (Jaquemet et al. 2005; Mann and Lazier 2013). The seasonal and localised upwelling felt during winter within and around the archipelago of Cabo Verde (Meunier et al. 2012; Peña-Izquierdo et al. 2012; Cardoso 2017) may, however, provide sufficient resources for Boyd's shearwaters when exploring colony surroundings during short trips and more pelagic and northerly waters during long trips. Our results do not greatly support a competitive exclusion scenario at the foraging grounds, since there is no obvious foraging segregation between sexes, neither evidence of higher aggressiveness of male shearwaters, as previously observed for gannets (Nelson 1965), and suggested as a potential driver of female displacement to more distant foraging grounds (Lewis et al. 2002; Stauss et al. 2012). In addition, in a recent study, female northern gannets exhibited greater proportions of vessel-associated foraging, although males have shown a higher consumption of fishery discards (Giménez et al. 2021). Despite females being slightly heavier than males, the higher aggressiveness of male gannets was underlined as a possible explanation for this sexual mismatch between vessel-associated foraging and discard consumption (Giménez et al. 2021). Thus, competitive exclusion may also occur in sexually monomorphic or slight dimorphic species, however, might be more context-dependent and related to localised competition for food resources, such as fishery discards.

Despite the biparental care, adult breeders may invest slightly different in breeding duties, according to disparate energetic constraints (e.g. Pinet et al. 2012). Once both parents share the incubation of the egg, it can be claimed that females might be energetically more depleted and in poorer body condition at the onset of the rearing period, due to carry-over costs incurred at the time of egg production and laying (Monaghan et al. 1998). In this regard, males may attempt to compensate the greater nutritional requirements of females by investing more during incubation or during the chick-provisioning (Lewis et al. 2002; Pinet et al. 2012). The slight longer foraging trips carried out by female Boyd's shearwaters may suggest sex-specific energetic constraints in foraging behaviour, however, the high overlap of isotopic niches and diet composition indicates there is no resource partitioning between sexes, which may suggest that nutritional requirements did not drive segregation in foraging. Actually, there are some studies that reported sex-related differences in the isotopic niche of sexually monomorphic species, such as the common tern Sterna hirundo (Nisbet et al. 2002), thin-billed prion Pachyptila belcheri (Quillfeldt et al. 2008), and the northern (Cleasby et al. 2015; Clark et al. 2021) and Australasian gannets (Ismar et al. 2017), although sex differences in foraging behaviour were only observed in gannets. A long-term study revealed that female northern gannets travelled further and consistently exhibited lower δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values than males (Clark et al. 2021). Isotopic niche partitioning was related to differential prey preferences of each sex potentially driven by different parental investment, i.e. males invest more in nest defence, that consequently impact sex-specific nutritional requirements. Moreover, males were found to spent more time at the nest during the day and more likely to be at sea overnight, which may also drive the feeding on different prey, since prey accessibility varies according to diel vertical migrations (Garthe et al. 2007; Davoren et al. 2010). In the absence of clear sexual dimorphism, other drivers, usually related to energetic constraints of each sex, may be depicted, and interpreted under each context, e.g. reliance on fishery discards, environmental conditions, habitat or niche specialisation (Lewis et al. 2002; Cleasby et al. 2015; Clark et al. 2021). At the first sight, our results seem to be contradictory, however, it is likely that sexual segregation in Boyd's shearwaters may arise when analysing other parameters, rather than foraging behaviour. For instance, chick begging intensity gives information about chick condition, acting as a honest signal for parents to adjust the provisioning rates, and thus, avoid under or overfeeding (Granadeiro et al. 2000; Quillfeldt et al. 2004; Tyson et al. 2017). However, parents should adjust provisioning rates according to chick energetic requirements but without compromising their own needs, which may be performed differently if sexes are under different energetic constraints (Shoji et al. 2015; Tyson et al. 2017). Female Manx shearwaters P. puffinus were observed to be more responsive to chick begging behaviour than males, adjusting the timing of trips and the meal size more carefully in response to chick energetic state (Quillfeldt et al. 2004; Hamer et al. 2006). Conversely to the scenario of competitive exclusion proposed by Gray and Hamer (2001), the different energetic constraints manifested in a better adjustment of provisioning effort by female shearwaters (Quillfeldt et al. 2004; Shoji et al. 2015; Tyson et al. 2017), which may be experiencing a deficit of energy during the chick-rearing period due to the higher energetic costs of egg production and laying. Thus, sex-specific energetic requirements in shearwaters can manifest in differences in provisioning behaviour, rather than in foraging parameters. To empirically ascertain whether this hypothesis lies behind the partial sexual segregation observed in Boyd's shearwater, further studies on monitoring nest attendance, meal mass delivered, and the estimation of energy expenditure, through accelerometery data, should be performed, as it was for northern gannets (Bennison et al. 2022), great cormorants *Phalacrocorax carbo* (Wilson et al. 2006), imperial cormorants *P. atriceps*, or Magellanic penguins *Spheniscus magellanicus* (Wilson et al. 2017).

Habitat suitability models revealed that, regardless of sex, the distribution of Boyd's shearwaters was mostly driven by SST and SSH during short and long trips, respectively. The relative contribution of SST in explaining the foraging distribution of shearwaters in tropical areas has already been reported (McDuie et al. 2018; Cerveira et al. 2020), while variations in SSH were reported to impact seabird foraging grounds, especially in oceanic areas (Pereira et al. 2020). Patterns in SST are closely linked to gradients of marine productivity (Catry et al. 2013; Cerveira et al. 2020; Pereira et al. 2020), which influence vertical and horizontal distribution of prey, as well as its abundance (Morato et al. 2008; Hsieh et al. 2009), while patterns in SSH can be indicators of mesoscale eddies, which play an important role on the recycling of nutrients in oceanic areas (Stramma et al. 2013; Braun et al. 2019), a recurrent phenomenon inside and outside Cabo Verde (Meunier et al. 2012; Cardoso 2017). Lower values of SSH are associated with the occurrence of cyclonic mesoscale eddies, which pump the deeper and cooler waters to the euphotic zone, promoting ephemeral and localised events of enhanced productivity (Falkowski et al. 1991; Klein and Lapeyre 2009). Male Boyd's shearwaters exhibited a slightly stronger association to lower SSH values than females, regardless of trip type, suggesting a stronger association with cyclonic eddies that might boost the aggregation of prey (Stramma et al. 2013; Braun et al. 2019). Moreover, CHL doubled its relative contribution in explaining females' foraging distribution when compared to that of males during long trips. Specifically, males selected areas of slightly higher CHL values than females, although we must bear in mind the lower relative contribution of CHL to explain foraging distribution. The CHL is often used as an indicator of upwelling events that can promote aggregations of planktivorous epipelagic fish and their aquatic predators (Ichii et al. 2004; López-Pérez et al. 2020), translating into higher foraging opportunities for seabirds (Jaquemet et al. 2005; Weimerskirch 2007). Within the archipelago of Cabo Verde, upwelling events only occur in winter, when the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) migrates towards the south (Peña-Izquierdo et al. 2012). Together with the intense currents generated by the inter-island channels, and the subsequent formation of mesoscale eddies promoted by the convergence of currents (Meunier et al. 2012; Peña-Izquierdo et al. 2012; Cardoso 2017), the oligotrophic waters can become, albeit temporarily, nutrient-rich waters providing great foraging opportunities for seabirds. Thus, males may be taking advantage of these temporarily profitable waters, especially during long trips, however, we acknowledge that the slight differences among habitat suitability models are

quite weak to depict an environmentally driven sexual segregation scenario.

Overall, we did not detect sexual segregation in the isotopic niche of Boyd's shearwaters, which was further supported by similar diet composition obtained from the mixing model. As expected, adults showed low δ^{15} N values, feeding mainly on small epipelagic fish larvae, less enriched in δ^{15} N when compared to mesopelagic fish or squid (Fig. S4). Interestingly, mesopelagic fish revealed to be the second most important prey for Boyd's shearwaters, which was quite unpredicted since there are fewer evidence of this prey in little shearwaters' diet (Catry et al. 2009; Neves et al. 2012; Ramos et al. 2015; Monteiro 2019). Despite the more intense foraging activity during the day, Boyd's shearwaters remained active during crepuscular hours, suggesting that adults may rely on mesopelagic species that perform diel vertical migrations towards the epipelagic zone, following the diel movements of zooplankton (Davoren et al. 2010). The higher abundance of mesopelagic prey at surface layers during crepuscular hours makes them available to little shearwaters when light intensity is high enough to allow the detection of prey (Ramos et al. 2020). When compared to the diet composition of its close-related counterpart the Macaronesian shearwater, our results indicate a minimal importance of squid in the diet (Neves et al. 2012; Ramos et al. 2015), not exceeding 6% on average. However, the method used to assess diet in those studies (stomach flushing) is prone to detect more squid beaks which accumulate in the stomach over time (Barrett et al. 2007). Moreover, the small number of squid species included in our model and, more importantly, the higher δ^{15} N values of squid might have caused model-biased estimates. Without previous knowledge of Boyd's shearwater diet, it is difficult to ensure correct model-biased estimates, thus, studying the diet through more detailed methods, such as DNA metabarcoding, it will improve significantly our understanding of Boyd's shearwater trophic ecology (Xavier et al. 2018; Carreiro et al. 2020).

In summary, this study provides the first detailed analysis on the foraging movements of the small and endemic Boyd's shearwater, a winter breeder of Cabo Verde archipelago. It was found a partial sex-specific foraging mostly observed during short trips, while both sexes exhibited more similar foraging metrics during long trips. Despite the low spatial overlap of FA, the sexual segregation was not explained by differences in foraging habitat conditions, nor in the isotopic niche, or even in diet composition. Further research is needed to evaluate whether provisioning rates are sex-dependent, which could lead to differential energetic demands, and ultimately, explain sexual segregation in foraging. Still, additional data are needed to evaluate the sex-related spatial and trophic consistency across several years, for a better comprehension of the effect of oceanographic conditions in driving foraging behaviour (Paiva et al. 2017; Clark et al. 2021). These data are highly valuable for future marine spatial planning and further implementation of species' conservation plans in the archipelago of Cabo Verde, as it was already proposed for other local breeding seabirds (Paiva et al. 2015; Almeida et al. 2021). Although the current legislation has banned any fishing activity in the marine area of the Natural Reserve of Santa Luzia (Vasconcelos et al. 2015), there is no efficient surveillance implemented, which may entail an unfavourable future scenario for the conservation of seabird species.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-022-04127-7.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Biosfera I and its staff for the logistics, namely transport to the colony and all the provided conditions, supplies and companionship during fieldwork. We are thankful for the comments and suggestion of changes provided by two anonymous referees and the editor, which improved the overall quality of the manuscript.

Author contributions IS, JAR, and VHP: conceptualisation and methodology. IS, FRC, IR, NA, and SA carried out the fieldwork and collected the samples. ARC and RJL undertook the molecular sexing of birds and prey identification. IS and DM carried out the stable isotope analysis. PG provided logistical and fieldwork support. IS, JAR, and VHP: investigation, writing, and visualisation. All the authors have read, reviewed, and edited the manuscript and approved its submission.

Funding This work received financial and logistic support (for fieldwork campaigns, GPS tracking devices and laboratory analysis) from the project Alcyon—Conservation of seabirds from Cabo Verde, coordinated by BirdLife International and funded by the MAVA foundation (MAVA17022; https://mava-foundation.org/oaps/promoting-the-conse rvation-of-sea-birds/), through its strategic plan for West Africa (2017– 2022). IR and NA received PhD and MSc grants, respectively, from MAVA through the Alcyon project. AC were funded by PhD grants from the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) (SFRH/BD/139019/2018). This study benefitted from national funds through FCT, I.P., within the scope of the project UIDB/04292/2020 granted to MARE - Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre and project LA/P/0069/2020 granted to the Associate Laboratory ARNET.

Data availability Data will be available upon reasonable request to the authors.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical approval All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. All animals were handled in strict accordance with good animal practice as defined by the current European legislation. All animal work was approved by the "National Directorate of the Environment" of Cabo Verde (DNA) through licences issued annually, authorising the work carried out at Raso Islet, Desertas Islands Natural Reserve. All sampling procedures and/or experimental manipulations have been reviewed and specifically approved as part of obtaining the field license.

References

- Almeida N, Ramos JA, Rodrigues I, dos Santos I, Pereira JM, Matos DM, Araújo PM, Geraldes P, Melo T, Paiva VH (2021) Yearround at-sea distribution and trophic resources partitioning between two sympatric Sulids in the tropical Atlantic. PLoS ONE 16:1–27. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253095
- Araujo M, Pearson R, Thuiller W, Erhard M (2005) Validation of species-climate impact models under climate change. Glob Chang Biol 11:1504–1513. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005. 001000.x
- Austin RE, De Pascalis F, Arnould JP, Haakonsson J, Votier SC, Ebanks-Petrie G, Austin T, Morgan G, Bennett G, Green J (2019) A sex-influenced flexible foraging strategy in the magnificent frigatebird *Fregata magnificens*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 611:203–214
- Barbet-Massin M, Jiguet F, Albert CH, Thuiller W (2012) Selecting pseudo-absences for species distribution models: How, where and how many? Methods Ecol Evol 3:327–338. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00172.x
- Barrett RT, Camphuysen KCJ, Anker-Nilssen T, Chardine JW, Furness RW, Garthe S, Hüppop O, Leopold MF, Montevecchi WA, Veit RR (2007) Diet studies of seabirds: a review and recommendations. ICES J Mar Sci 64:1675–1691. https://doi.org/10.1093/ icesjms/fsm152
- Barron DG, Brawn JD, Weatherhead PJ (2010) Meta-analysis of transmitter effects on avian behaviour and ecology. Methods Ecol Evol 1:180–187. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2010. 00013.x
- Bates D, Martin Maechler, Bolker B, Walker S, Christensen RHB, Singmann H, Dai B, Grothendieck G (2015) lme4: linear mixedeffects models using "Eigen" and S4. R package version 1.1-10, pp 1–98
- Bearhop S, Waldron S, Votier SC, Furness RW (2002) Factors that influence assimilation rates and fractionation of nitrogen and carbon stable isotopes in avian blood and feathers. Physiol Biochem Zool 75:451–458. https://doi.org/10.1086/342800
- Becker BH, Peery MZ, Beissinger SR (2007) Ocean climate and prey availability affect the trophic level and reproductive success of the marbled murrelet, an endangered seabird. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 329:267–279. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps329267
- Bennison A, Giménez J, Quinn JL, Green JA, Jessopp M (2022) A bioenergetics approach to understanding sex differences in the foraging behaviour of a sexually monomorphic species. R Soc Open Sci 9:15. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.210520
- BirdLife International (2020) Species factsheet: *Puffinus lherminieri*. http://www.birdlife.org. Accessed 20 Aug 2020
- Bodey TW, Cleasby IR, Bell F, Parr N, Schultz A, Votier SC, Bearhop S (2018) A phylogenetically controlled meta-analysis of biologging device effects on birds: deleterious effects and a call for more standardized reporting of study data. Methods Ecol Evol 9:946–955. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12934
- Bolton M (2021) GPS tracking reveals highly consistent use of restricted foraging areas by European Storm-petrels *Hydrobates pelagicus* breeding at the largest UK colony: implications for conservation management. Bird Conserv Int 31:1–18. https:// doi.org/10.1017/s0959270920000374
- Bond AL, Jones Ian L (2009) A practical introduction to stable-isotope analysis for seabird biologists: approaches, cautions and caveats. Mar Ornithol 37:183–188
- Braun CD, Gaube P, Sinclair-Taylor TH, Skomal GB, Thorrold SR (2019) Mesoscale eddies release pelagic sharks from thermal constraints to foraging in the ocean twilight zone. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116:17187–17192. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.19030 67116

- Burke CM, Montevecchi WA, Regular PM (2015) Seasonal variation in parental care drives sex-specific foraging by a monomorphic seabird. PLoS ONE 10:1–22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0141190
- Calenge C (2006) The package "adehabitat" for the R software: a tool for the analysis of space and habitat use by animals. Ecol Modell 197:516–519. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.03.017
- Cardoso C (2017) Eddies of the Cape Verde Archipelago. Dissertation, Universidade do Algarve
- Carreiro AR, Paiva VH, Medeiros R, Franklin KA, Oliveira N, Fagundes AI, Ramos JA (2020) Metabarcoding, stables isotopes, and tracking: unraveling the trophic ecology of a winter-breeding storm petrel (*Hydrobates castro*) with a multimethod approach. Mar Biol 167:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-019-3626-x
- Catry T, Ramos JA, Jaquemet S, Faulquier L, Berlincourt M, Hauselmann A, Pinet P, Le CM (2009) Comparative foraging ecology of a tropical seabird community of the Seychelles, western Indian Ocean. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 374:259–272. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps07713
- Catry T, Ramos JA, Catry I, Monticelli D, Granadeiro JP (2013) Interannual variability in the breeding performance of six tropical seabird species: influence of life-history traits and relationship with oceanographic parameters. Mar Biol 160:1189–1201. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00227-013-2171-2
- Cecere JG, De Pascalis F, Imperio S, Ménard D, Catoni C, Griggio M, Rubolini D (2020) Inter-individual differences in foraging tactics of a colonial raptor: consistency, weather effects, and fitness correlates. Mov Ecol 8:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40462-020-00206-w
- Cerveira LR, Ramos JA, Rodrigues I, Almeida N, Araújo PM, dos Santos I, Vieira C, Pereira JM, Ceia FR, Geraldes P, Melo T, Paiva VH (2020) Inter-annual changes in oceanic conditions drives spatial and trophic consistency of a tropical marine predator. Mar Environ Res 162:105165. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maren vres.2020.105165
- Cherel Y, Hobson KA, Bailleul F, Groscolas R (2005a) Nutrition, physiology, and stable isotopes: new information from fasting and molting penguins. Ecology 86:2881–2888
- Cherel Y, Hobson KA, Weimerskirch H (2005b) Using stable isotopes to study resource acquisition and allocation in procellariiform seabirds. Oecologia 145:533–540. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00442-005-0156-7
- Chimienti M, Cornulier T, Owen E, Bolton M, Davies IM, Travis JMJ, Scott BE (2017) Taking movement data to new depths: Inferring prey availability and patch profitability from seabird foraging behavior. Ecol Evol 7:10252–10265. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ece3.3551
- Clark B, Cox S, Atkins K, Bearhop S, Bicknell A, Bodey T, Cleasby I, Grecian W, Hamer K, Loveday B, Miller P, Morgan G, Morgan L, Newton J, Patrick S, Scales K, Sherley R, Vigfúsdóttir F, Wakefield E, Votier S (2021) Sexual segregation of gannet foraging over 11 years: movements vary but isotopic differences remain stable. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 661:1–16. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps13636
- Clay TA, Joo R, Weimerskirch H, Phillips RA, den Ouden O, Basille M, Clusella-Trullas S, Assink JD, Patrick SC (2020) Sex-specific effects of wind on the flight decisions of a sexually dimorphic soaring bird. J Anim Ecol 89:1811–1823. https://doi.org/10. 1111/1365-2656.13267
- Cleasby IR, Wakefield ED, Bodey TW, Davies RD, Patrick SC, Newton J, Votier SC, Bearhop S, Hamer KC (2015) Sexual segregation in a wide-ranging marine predator is a consequence of habitat selection. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 518:1–12. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps11112
- Congdon BC, Krockenberger AK, Smithers BV (2005) Dual-foraging and co-ordinated provisioning in a tropical Procellariiform,

the wedge-tailed shearwater. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 301:293–301. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps301293

- Davoren GK, Garthe S, Montevecchi WA, Benvenuti S (2010) Influence of prey behaviour and other predators on the foraging activities of a marine avian predator in a low arctic ecosystem. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 404:275–287. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08370
- Elliott KH, Gaston AJ, Crump D (2010) Sex-specific behavior by a monomorphic seabird represents risk partitioning. Behav Ecol 21:1024–1032. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arq076
- Falkowski PG, Ziemann D, Kolber Z, Bienfang PK (1991) Role of eddy pumping in enhancing primary production in the ocean. Nature 352:55–58
- Flood R, van der Vliet R (2019) Variation and identification of Barolo Shearwater and Boyd's Shearwater. Dutch Bird 41:215–237
- Furness RW, Camphuysen CJ (1997) Seabirds as monitors of the marine environment. ICES J Mar Sci 54:726–737. https://doi. org/10.1006/jmsc.1997.0243
- Garriga J, Palmer JRB, Oltra A, Bartumeus F (2016) Expectationmaximization binary clustering for behavioural annotation. PLoS ONE 11:1–26. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151984
- Garthe S, Montevecchi WA, Davoren GK (2007) Flight destinations and foraging behaviour of northern gannets (*Sula bassana*) preying on a small forage fish in a low-Arctic ecosystem. Deep Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr 54:311–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. dsr2.2006.11.008
- Gillies N, Fayet AL, Padget O, Syposz M, Wynn J, Bond S, Evry J, Kirk H, Shoji A, Dean B, Freeman R, Guilford T (2020) Shortterm behavioural impact contrasts with long-term fitness consequences of biologging in a long-lived seabird. Sci Rep 10:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72199-w
- Giménez J, Arneill GE, Bennison A, Pirotta E, Gerritsen HD, Bodey TW, Bearhop S, Hamer KC, Votier S, Jessopp M (2021) Sexual mismatch between vessel-associated foraging and discard consumption in a marine top predator. Front Mar Sci 8:1–13. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.636468
- Gladbach A, Braun C, Nordt A, Peter HU, Quillfeldt P (2009) Chick provisioning and nest attendance of male and female Wilson's storm petrels *Oceanites oceanicus*. Polar Biol 32:1315–1321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-009-0628-z
- González-Solís J, Croxall JP, Wood AG (2000) Sexual dimorphism and sexual segregation in foraging strategies of northern giant petrels, *Macronectes halli*, during incubation. Oikos 90:390–398. https:// doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.900220.x
- Granadeiro JP, Bolton M, Silva MC, Nunes M, Furness RW (2000) Responses of breeding Cory's shearwater *Calonectris diomedea* to experimental manipulation of chick condition. Behav Ecol 11:274–281. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/11.3.274
- Gray CM, Hamer KC (2001) Food-provisioning behaviour of male and female Manx shearwaters, *Puffinus puffinus*. Anim Behav 62:117–121. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2001.1717
- Hamer KC, Quillfeldt P, Masello JF, Fletcher KL (2006) Sex differences in provisioning rules: responses of Manx shearwaters to supplementary chick feeding. Behav Ecol 17:132–137. https:// doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arj008
- Healy K, Guillerme T, Kelly SBA, Inger R, Bearhop S, Jackson AL (2018) SIDER: an R package for predicting trophic discrimination factors of consumers based on their ecology and phylogenetic relatedness. Ecography 41:1393–1400. https://doi.org/10. 1111/ecog.03371
- Hijmans RJ, Etten J van, Sumner M, Cheng J, Bevan A, Bevan R, Busetto L, Canty M, Forrest D, Ghosh A, Golicher D, Gray J, Greenberg JA (2020) "raster" R package version 3.3-13, pp 1–249
- Hsieh CH, Kim HJ, Watson W, Di Lorenzo E, Sugihara G (2009) Climate-driven changes in abundance and distribution of larvae

of oceanic fishes in the southern California region. Glob Chang Biol 15:2137–2152. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009. 01875.x

- Ichii T, Mahapatra K, Sakai M, Inagake D, Okada Y (2004) Differing body size between the autumn and the winter-spring cohorts of neon flying squid (*Ommastrephes bartramii*) related to the oceanographic regime in the North Pacific: a hypothesis. Fish Oceanogr 13:295–309. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2419. 2004.00293.x
- Inger R, Bearhop S (2008) Applications of stable isotope analyses to avian ecology. Ibis 150:447–461. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2008.00839.x
- Ismar SMH, Raubenheimer D, Bury SJ, Millar CD, Hauber ME (2017) Sex-specific foraging during parental care in a size-monomorphic seabird, the Australasian Gannet (*Morus serrator*). Wilson J Ornithol 129:139–147. https://doi.org/10.1676/1559-4491-129.1. 139
- Jackson AL, Inger R, Parnell AC, Bearhop S (2011) Comparing isotopic niche widths among and within communities: SIBER - Stable Isotope Bayesian Ellipses in R. J Anim Ecol 80:595–602. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2011.01806.x
- Jaquemet S, Le Corre M, Marsac F, Potier M, Weimerskirch H (2005) Foraging habitats of the seabird community of Europa Island (Mozambique Channel). Mar Biol 147:573–582. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00227-005-1610-0
- Johnson DL, Henderson MT, Anderson DL, Booms TL, Williams CT (2020) Bayesian stable isotope mixing models effectively characterize the diet of an Arctic raptor. J Anim Ecol 89:2972–2985. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13361
- Kelly JF (2000) Stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen in the study of avian and mammalian trophic ecology. Can J Zool 78:1–27. https://doi.org/10.1139/z99-165
- Klein P, Lapeyre G (2009) The oceanic vertical pump induced by mesoscale and submesoscale turbulence. Ann Rev Mar Sci 1:351– 375. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163704
- Lascelles BG, Taylor PR, Miller MGR, Dias MP, Oppel S, Torres L, Hedd A, Le Corre M, Phillips RA, Shaffer SA, Weimerskirch H, Small C (2016) Applying global criteria to tracking data to define important areas for marine conservation. Divers Distrib 22:422–431. https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12411
- Lewis S, Benvenuti S, DallAntonia L, Griffiths R, Money L, Sherratt TN, Wanless S, Hamer KC (2002) Sex-specific foraging behaviour in a monomorphic seabird. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 269:1687–1693. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2083
- López-Pérez C, Olivar MP, Hulley PA, Tuset VM (2020) Lengthweight relationships of mesopelagic fishes from the equatorial and tropical Atlantic waters: influence of environment and body shape. J Fish Biol 96:1388–1398. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb. 14307
- Louzao M, Bécares J, Rodríguez B, Hyrenbach KD, Ruiz A, Arcos JM (2009) Combining vessel-based surveys and tracking data to identify key marine areas for seabirds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 391:183–197. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08124
- Louzao M, Wiegand T, Bartumeus F, Weimerskirch H (2014) Coupling instantaneous energy-budget models and behavioural mode analysis to estimate optimal foraging strategy: an example with wandering albatrosses. Mov Ecol. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 2051-3933-2-8
- Magalhães MC, Santos RS, Hamer KC (2008) Dual-foraging of Cory's shearwaters in the Azores: feeding locations, behaviour at sea and implications for food provisioning of chicks. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 359:283–293. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07340
- Mann KH, Lazier JR (2013) Dynamics of marine ecosystems: biological-physical interactions in the oceans. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford

- Marmion M, Parviainen M, Luoto M, Heikkinen RK, Thuiller W (2009) Evaluation of consensus methods in predictive species distribution modelling. Divers Distrib 15:59–69. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00491.x
- McDuie F, Weeks SJ, Congdon BC (2018) Oceanographic drivers of near-colony seabird foraging site use in tropical marine systems. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 589:209–225. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps1 2475
- Meunier T, Barton ED, Barreiro B, Torres R (2012) Upwelling filaments off cap blanc: Interaction of the NW african upwelling current and the cape verde frontal zone eddy field? J Geophys Res Ocean 117:1–18. https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JC007905
- Minagawa M, Wada E (1986) Nitrogen isotope ratios of red tide organisms in the East China Sea: a characterization of biological nitrogen fixation. Mar Chem 19:245–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0304-4203(86)90026-5
- Monaghan P, Nager RG, Houston DC (1998) The price of eggs: Increased investment in egg production reduces the offspring rearing capacity of parents. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 265:1731– 1735. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0495
- Monteiro C (2019) Ecología trófica de la comunidad de aves marinas de Cabo Verde. Dissertation, University of Barcelona
- Morato T, Varkey DA, Damaso C, Machete M, Santos M, Prieto R, Santos RS, Pitcher TJ (2008) Evidence of a seamount effect on aggregating visitors. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 357:23–32. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps07269
- Morgenthaler A, Millones A, Gandini P, Frere E (2021) Which trophic discrimination factors fit the best? A combined dietary study of a coastal seabird. J Ornithol 162:179–190. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10336-020-01813-5
- Naimi B (2017) usdm: uncertainty analysis for species distribution models. R package version 1.1-18
- Navarro AB, Magioli M, Bogoni JA, Silveira LF, Moreira MZ, Alexandrino ER, da Luz DTA, Silva WR, Pizo MA, de Oliveira VC, de Ferraz KMPMB (2021) Isotopic niches of tropical birds reduced by anthropogenic impacts: a 100-year perspective. Oikos 130:1892–1904. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.08386
- Nelson JB (1965) The behaviour of the gannet. Br Birds 58:231-336
- Neves VC, Bried J, González-Solís J, Roscales JL, Clarke MR (2012) Feeding ecology and movements of the Barolo shearwater *Puffinus baroli baroli* in the Azores, NE Atlantic. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 452:269–285. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09670
- Newsome SD, Martinez del Rio C, Bearhop S, Phillips DL (2007) A niche for isotopic ecology. Front Ecol Environ 5:429–436
- Nisbet ICT, Montoya JP, Burger J, Hatch JJ (2002) Use of stable isotopes to investigate individual differences in diets and mercury exposures among common terns *Sterna hirundo* in breeding and wintering grounds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 242:267–274. https://doi. org/10.3354/meps242267
- Paiva VH, Geraldes P, Rodrigues I, Melo T, Melo J, Ramos JA (2015) The foraging ecology of the endangered cape verde shearwater, a sentinel species for marine conservation off West Africa. PLoS ONE 10:e0139390. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.01393 90
- Paiva VH, Pereira J, Ceia FR, Ramos JA (2017) Environmentally driven sexual segregation in a marine top predator. Sci Rep 7:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02854-2
- Paiva VH, Ramos JA, Nava C, Neves V, Bried J, Magalhães M (2018) Inter-sexual habitat and isotopic niche segregation of the endangered Monteiro's storm-petrel during breeding. Zoology 126:29– 35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.zool.2017.12.006
- Paredes R, Jones IL, Boness DJ (2006) Parental roles of male and female thick-billed murres and razorbills at the Gannet Islands, Labrador. Behaviour 143:451–481. https://doi.org/10.1163/ 156853906776240641

- Parnell A, Inger R (2016) simmr: a stable isotope mixing model. R Package version 0.3
- Peck DR, Congdon BC (2006) Sex-specific chick provisioning and diving behaviour in the wedge-tailed shearwater *Puffinus pacifi*cus. J Avian Biol 37:245–251. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2006. 0908-8857.03558.x
- Peña-Izquierdo J, Pelegrí JL, Pastor MV, Castellanos P, Emelianov M, Gasser M, Salvador J, Vázquez-Domínguez E (2012) The continental slope current system between Cape Verde and the Canary Islands. Sci Mar 76S1:65–78. https://doi.org/10.3989/ scimar.03607.18C
- Pereira JM, Paiva VH, Phillips RA, Xavier JC (2018) The devil is in the detail : small—scale sexual segregation despite large—scale spatial overlap in the wandering albatross. Mar Biol 165:1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-018-3316-0
- Pereira JM, Paiva VH, Ceia FR, Ramos JA (2020) Facing extremes: Cory's shearwaters adjust their foraging behaviour differently in response to contrasting phases of North Atlantic Oscillation. Reg Environ Chang 20:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10113-020-01662-1
- Pereira JM, Ramos JA, Marques AM, Ceia FR, Krüger L, Votier SC, Paiva VH (2021) Low spatial overlap between foraging shearwaters during the breeding season and industrial fisheries off the west coast of Portugal. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 657:209–221. https:// doi.org/10.3354/meps13549
- Pereira JM, Ramos JA, Almeida N, Araújo PM, Ceia FR, Geraldes P, Marques AM, Matos DM, Rodrigues I, dos Santos I, Paiva VH (2022) Foraging costs drive within-colony spatial segregation in shearwaters from two contrasting environments in the North Atlantic. Oecologia. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-022-05109-8
- Phillips RA, Silk JRD, Phalan B, Catry P, Croxall JP (2004) Seasonal sexual segregation in two *Thalassarche* albatross species: competitive exclusion, reproductive role specialization or foraging niche divergence? Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 271:1283–1291. https:// doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2718
- Phillips RA, McGill RAR, Dawson DA, Bearhop S (2011) Sexual segregation in distribution, diet and trophic level of seabirds: Insights from stable isotope analysis. Mar Biol 158:2199–2208. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-011-1725-4
- Phillips DL, Inger R, Bearhop S, Jackson AL, Moore JW, Parnell AC, Semmens BX, Ward EJ (2014) Best practices for use of stable isotope mixing models in food-web studies. Can J Zool 92:823– 835. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjz-2014-0127
- Pinet P, Jaquemet S, Phillips RA, Le Corre M (2012) Sex-specific foraging strategies throughout the breeding season in a tropical, sexually monomorphic small petrel. Anim Behav 83:979–989. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ANBEHAV.2012.01.019
- Plummer M, Stukalov A, Denwood M (2019) rjags: Bayesian graphical models using MCMC
- Post DM, Layman CA, Arrington DA, Takimoto G, Quattrochi J, Montaña CG (2007) Getting to the fat of the matter: models, methods and assumptions for dealing with lipids in stable isotope analyses. Oecologia 152:179–189. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00442-006-0630-x
- Quillfeldt P, Masello JF, Hamer KC (2004) Sex differences in provisioning rules and honest signalling of need in Manx shearwaters, *Puffinus puffinus*. Anim Behav 68:613–620. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.anbehav.2003.12.002
- Quillfeldt P, McGill RAR, Masello JF, Weiss F, Strange IJ, Brickle P, Furness RW (2008) Stable isotope analysis reveals sexual and environmental variability and individual consistency in foraging of thin-billed prions. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 373:137–148. https:// doi.org/10.3354/meps07751
- R Core Team (2020) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. https:// www.r-project.org/

- Ramos JA, Isabel Fagundes A, Xavier JC, Fidalgo V, Ceia FR, Medeiros R, Paiva VH (2015) A switch in the Atlantic Oscillation correlates with inter-annual changes in foraging location and food habits of Macaronesian shearwaters (*Puffinus baroli*) nesting on two islands of the sub-tropical Atlantic Ocean. Deep Sea Res Part I Oceanogr Res Pap 104:60–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. dsr.2015.07.001
- Ramos R, Paiva VH, Zajková Z, Precheur C, Fagundes AI, Jodice PGRR, Mackin W, Zino F, Bretagnolle V, González-Solís J (2020) Spatial ecology of closely related taxa: the case of the little shearwater complex in the North Atlantic Ocean. Zool J Linn Soc 191:1–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlaa0 45
- Ravache A, Bourgeois K, Weimerskirch H, Pagenaud A, de Grissac S, Miller M, Dromzée S, Lorrain A, Allain V, Bustamante P, Bylemans J, Gleeson D, Letourneur Y, Vidal É (2020) Behavioral and trophic segregations help the Tahiti petrel to cope with the abundance of wedge-tailed shearwater when foraging in oligotrophic tropical waters. Sci Rep 10:1–18. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-020-72206-0
- Reyes-González JM, De Felipe F, Morera-Pujol V, Soriano-Redondo A, Navarro-Herrero L, Zango L, García-Barcelona S, Ramos R, González-Solís J (2021) Sexual segregation in the foraging behaviour of a slightly dimorphic seabird: Influence of the environment and fishery activity. J Anim Ecol 90:1109–1121. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13437
- Rotger A, Sola A, Tavecchia G, Sanz-Aguilar A (2021) Foraging far from home: gps-tracking of mediterranean Storm-Petrels *Hydrobates pelagicus melitensis* reveals long-distance foraging movements. Ardeola 68:3–16. https://doi.org/10.13157/arla.68.1.2021. ral
- Schmitt S, Pouteau R, Justeau D, de Boissieu F, Birnbaum P (2017) ssdm: an r package to predict distribution of species richness and composition based on stacked species distribution models. Methods Ecol Evol 8:1795–1803. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12841
- Schoener TW (1974) Resource partitioning in ecological communities. Science 185:27–39. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4145.27
- Scopel LC, Diamond AW, Kress SW, Hards AR, Shannon P (2017) Seabird diets as bioindicators of Atlantic herring recruitment and stock size: a new tool for ecosystem-based fisheries management. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 15:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1139/ cjfas-2017-0140
- Semedo G, Paiva VH, Militão T, Rodrigues I, Dinis HA, Pereira J, Matos D, Ceia FR, Almeida NM, Geraldes P, Saldanha S, Barbosa N, Hernández-Montero M, Fernandes C, González-Sólis J, Ramos JA (2021) Distribution, abundance, and on-land threats to Cabo Verde seabirds. Bird Conserv Int 31:53–76. https://doi. org/10.1017/S0959270920000428
- Shaffer SA, Weimerskirch H, Costa DP (2001) Functional significance of sexual dimorphism in Wandering Albatrosses, *Diomedea exulans*. Funct Ecol 15:203–210. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2001.00514.x
- Shoji A, Aris-Brosou S, Fayet A, Padget O, Perrins C, Guilford T (2015) Dual foraging and pair coordination during chick provisioning by Manx shearwaters: empirical evidence supported by a simple model. J Exp Biol 218:2116–2123. https://doi.org/10. 1242/jeb.120626
- Smith JA, Mazumder D, Suthers IM, Taylor MD (2013) To fit or not to fit: evaluating stable isotope mixing models using simulated mixing polygons. Methods Ecol Evol 4:612–618. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/2041-210X.12048
- Soanes LM, Bright JA, Brodin G, Mukhida F, Green JA (2015) Tracking a small seabird: first records of foragign movements in the sooty tern *Onychoprion fuscatus*. Mar Ornithol 43:235–239

- Stauss C, Bearhop S, Bodey TW, Garthe S, Gunn C, Grecian WJ, Inger R, Knight ME, Newton J, Patrick SC, Phillips RA, Waggitt JJ, Votier SC (2012) Sex-specific foraging behaviour in northern gannets *Morus bassanus*: Incidence and implications. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 457:151–162. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09734
- Stramma L, Bange HW, Czeschel R, Lorenzo A, Frank M (2013) On the role of mesoscale eddies for the biological productivity and biogeochemistry in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean off Peru. Biogeosciences 10:7293–7306. https://doi.org/10.5194/ bg-10-7293-2013
- Sumner MD, Luque S, Fischbach A, Hengl T (2020) trip: tools for the analysis of animal track data. R package version 1.8.0. pp 1–37
- Sun A, Whelan S, Hatch SA, Elliott KH (2020) Tags below three percent of body mass increase nest abandonment by rhinoceros auklets, but handling impacts decline as breeding progresses. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 643:173–181. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13341
- Surman CA, Nicholson LW, Ayling S (2017) Foraging behavior of the Lesser Noddy Anous tenuirostris from the eastern Indian Ocean: insights from micro-geologging. Mar Ornithol 45:123–128
- Swan GJF, Bearhop S, Redpath SM, Silk MJ, Goodwin CED, Inger R, McDonald RA (2020) Evaluating Bayesian stable isotope mixing models of wild animal diet and the effects of trophic discrimination factors and informative priors. Methods Ecol Evol 11:139–149. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13311
- Sztukowski LA, Cotton PA, Weimerskirch H, Thompson DR, Torres LG, Sagar PM, Knights AM, Fayet AL, Votier SC (2018) Sex differences in individual foraging site fidelity of Campbell albatross. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 601:227–238. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps12684
- Thaxter CB, Daunt F, Hamer KC, Watanuki Y, Harris MP, Grémillet D, Peters G, Wanless S (2009) Sex-specific food provisioning in a monomorphic seabird, the common guillemot *Uria aalge*: Nest defence, foraging efficiency or parental effort? J Avian Biol 40:75–84. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-048X.2008.04507.x
- Tyson C, Kirk H, Fayet A, Van Loon EE, Shoji A, Dean B, Perrins C, Freeman R, Guilford T (2017) Coordinated provisioning in a dual-foraging pelagic seabird. Anim Behav 132:73–79. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.07.022
- Vasconcelos R, Freitas R, Hazevoet CJ (2015) Cabo verde—história natural das ilhas desertas/the natural history of the Desertas islands. Santa Luzia Branco e Raso, Porto
- Votier SC, Fayet AL, Bearhop S, Bodey TW, Clark BL, Grecian J, Guilford T, Hamer KC, Jeglinski JWE, Morgan G, Wakefield E, Patrick SC (2017) Effects of age and reproductive status on individual foraging site fidelity in a long-lived marine predator. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.1068
- Weimerskirch H (2007) Are seabirds foraging for unpredictable resources? Deep Res Part II: Top Stud Oceanogr 54:211–223. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.11.013
- Weimerskirch H, Chastel O, Ackermann L, Chaurand T, Cuenot-Chaillet F, Hindermeyer X, Judas J (1994) Alternate long and short foraging trips in pelagic seabird parents. Anim Behav 47:472–476
- Weimerskirch H, Le CM, Ropert-Coudert Y, Kato A, Marsac F (2006) Sex-specific foraging behaviour in a seabird with reversed sexual dimorphism: the red-footed booby. Oecologia 146:681–691. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-005-0226-x
- Welcker J, Steen H, Harding AMA, Gabrielsen GW (2009) Sex-specific provisioning behaviour in a monomorphic seabird with a bimodal foraging strategy. Ibis 151:502–513. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1474-919X.2009.00931.x
- Wilson RP, White CR, Quintana F, Halsey LG, Liebsch N, Martin GR, Butler PJ (2006) Moving towards acceleration for estimates of activity-specific metabolic rate in free-living animals: the case of the cormorant. J Anim Ecol 75:1081–1090. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01127.x

- Wilson RP, Pütz K, Peters G, Culik B, Scolaro JA, Charrassin J-B, Ropert-Coudert Y (1997) Long-term attachment of transmitting and recording devices to penguins and other seabirds. Wildl Soc Bull 25:101–106
- Wilson RP, Gómez-Laich A, Sala JE, Dell'Omo G, Holton MD, Quintana F (2017) Long necks enhance and constrain foraging capacity in aquatic vertebrates. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. https://doi.org/ 10.1098/rspb.2017.2072
- Wojczulanis-Jakubas K, Araya-Salas M, Jakubas D (2018) Seabird parents provision their chick in a coordinated manner. PLoS ONE 13:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189969
- Xavier JC, Cherel Y (2009) Cephalopod beak guide for the Southern Ocean. British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge
- Xavier JC, Cherel Y, Medeiros R, Velez N, Dewar M, Ratcliffe N, Carreiro AR, Trathan PN (2018) Conventional and molecular analysis of the diet of gentoo penguins: contributions to assess scats for non-invasive penguin diet monitoring. Polar Biol 41:2275– 2287. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-018-2364-8
- Young HS, McCauley DJ, Dirzo R, Dunbar RB, Shaffer SA (2010) Niche partitioning among and within sympatric tropical seabirds revealed by stable isotope analysis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 416:285– 294. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08756
- Zajková Z, Militão T, González-Solís J (2017) Year-round movements of a small seabird and oceanic isotopic gradient in the tropical Atlantic. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 579:169–183. https://doi.org/10. 3354/meps12269
- Zango L, Navarro-Herrero L, García-Vendrell M, Safi K, González-Solís J (2020) Niche partitioning and individual specialization

among age, breeding status and sex classes in a long-lived seabird. Anim Behav 170:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav. 2020.10.001

- Zhang J, Rayner M, Vickers S, Landers T, Sagar R, Stewart J, Dunphy B (2019) GPS telemetry for small seabirds: using hidden Markov models to infer foraging behaviour of common diving petrels (*Pelecanoides urinatrix urinatrix*). Emu 119:126–137. https:// doi.org/10.1080/01584197.2018.1558997
- Zurell D, Franklin J, König C, Bouchet PJ, Dormann CF, Elith J, Fandos G, Feng X, Guillera-Arroita G, Guisan A, Lahoz-Monfort JJ, Leitão PJ, Park DS, Peterson AT, Rapacciuolo G, Schmatz DR, Schröder B, Serra-Diaz JM, Thuiller W, Yates KL, Zimmermann NE, Merow C (2020) A standard protocol for reporting species distribution models. Ecography 43:1261–1277. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/ecog.04960

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.